## Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) Dog Survey

The survey ran from 18th September to 30th October 2023
855 responses were received.

Paper surveys have been transcribed into the results.
There was also one emailed response which will be passed to the Environmental Protection team for consideration when analysing the results.

All additional comments provided where the questions allowed for further text to be provided have been forwarded to the Environmental Protection team separately for consideration. These have not been included in this summary due to the large quantity involved.

## About you

Are you a dog-owner? Yes 549 (64\%), No 306 (36\%)
Are you answering this survey as (select all that apply)

- A representative of an organisation 4
- A resident of North Devon 790
- A dog-walking business operator in North Devon 19
- A visitor (leisure/work) to North Devon 55
- A Councillor in North Devon (town/parish/district/county) 10
- Other 25


## Dog Fouling

The current PSPO requires the clearing up and appropriate disposal of dog fouling in public spaces. Do you think this should be retained?

| Yes | 851 | $(99.53 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 1 | $(0.12 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 3 | $(0.35 \%)$ |

Do you agree that North Devon Council should be providing more delegated authority to third parties to help improve the efficiency and effectiveness of enforcing measures to prevent dog-fouling?

| Agree | 612 | $(71.58 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Disagree | 96 | $(11.23 \%)$ |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 120 | $(14.04 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 27 | $(3.16 \%)$ |

## Lead requirements

The current PSPO requires a dog to be on a lead, either by direction by an authorised officer, or when entering a specified area. Do you think this should be retained?

| Yes | 770 | $(90.06 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 51 | $(5.96 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 34 | $(3.98 \%)$ |

Dogs on lead by direction allows an authorised officer to require the person in charge of a dog to put it on a lead in any public space if they believe there is a potential threat, harm or risk. Do you think this should be retained?

| Yes | 814 | $(95.20 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 27 | $(3.16 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 14 | $(1.64 \%)$ |

Do you support an expansion in the number of authorised officers who would be able to instruct a dog to be placed on a lead?

| Support | 592 | $(69.24 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Do not support | 137 | $(16.02 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 126 | $(14.74 \%)$ |

There are only a very limited number of locations and areas where dogs are required to be kept on a lead at all times. Please indicate whether you think this should be retained at the following locations

Croyde Bay dunes (between 1st May and 30th September)
Retain 562 (65.73\%)
Review 236 (27.60\%)
Don't Know 57 (6.67\%)
Municipal cemeteries, churchyards, and graveyards
Retain 803 (93.92\%)
Review 44 (5.15\%)
Don't Know 8 (0.94\%)

Would you support an extension for 'Dogs on lead' in the following areas?
Council-owned car parks
Support 716 (83.74\%)

| Not Support | 109 | (12.75\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Don't Know 30 | $(3.51 \%)$ |  |

Council-owned allotments
Support 610 (71.35\%)

Not Support 125 (14.62\%)
Don't Know 120 (14.04\%)
Ornamental and formal gardens
Support 712 (83.27\%)
Not Support 105 (12.28\%)
Don't Know 38 (4.44\%)
Footpaths around lakes and ponds

| Support | 442 | $(51.70 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not Support | 354 | $(41.40 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 59 | $(6.90 \%)$ |

Under these restrictions, the maximum length of a lead is currently stipulated as two metres (six-feet six inches). We are considering reducing the lead length to 1.5 metres (four-feet eleven inches) to ensure tighter control of a dog and the protection of pedestrians, cyclists, dogs, or other animals, as well as the environment. Do you support this proposal?

| Support | 506 | $(59.18 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Don't Support | 314 | $(36.73 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 35 | $(4.09 \%)$ |

Where there are high incidences of dog-related anti-social behaviour or fouling this would be referred to as a 'Hotspot'. Do you support the proposal of imposing temporary restrictions of 'dogs on lead' in such an area if standard interventions are failing to have an effect?

| Support | 569 | $(66.55 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Don't Support | 198 | $(23.16 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 88 | $(10.29 \%)$ |

As you have confirmed your support for the above, please confirm what you think would be an appropriate length of time for a temporary restriction to be imposed.

| 1 month | 82 | $(14.44 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 months | 43 | $(7.57 \%)$ |
| 3 months | 108 | $(19.01 \%)$ |
| At the Council's discretion | 279 | $(49.12 \%)$ |
| Other | 56 | $(9.86 \%)$ |

## Dog Exclusions

The current PSPO excludes dogs from a limited number of public areas. These are clearly marked 'No Dogs' (or have signage/symbols to that effect).

Would you like the following areas to have this restriction retained?

| Enclosed Childrens' Play Areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Retain | 821 | $(96.02 \%)$ |
| Review | 30 | $(3.51 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 4 | $(0.47 \%)$ |

Combe Martin Beach (Between 1st May and 30th September)

| Retain | 496 | $(58.01 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Review | 286 | $(33.45 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 73 | $(8.54 \%)$ |

Land used as High Tide Roosting sites (Between 1st October and 31st March)
Retain 670 (78.36\%)
Review 133 (15.56\%)
Don't Know 52 (6.08\%)
Designated Sports Pitches

| Retain | 738 | $(86.32 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Review | 102 | $(11.93 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 15 | $(1.75 \%)$ |

Croyde Beach (Between 1st May and 30th September)
Retain 517 (60.47\%)
Review 273 (31.93\%)
Don't Know 65 (7.60\%)

Where there are high incidences of dog related anti-social behaviour or fouling this would be referred to as a 'Hotspot'. Do you support the proposal of imposing temporary restrictions of 'Dog Exclusion' in such an area if standard interventions are failing to have an effect, and a temporary restriction for "dogs on a lead" has not brought about a change in behaviour?

| Support | 503 | $(58.83 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Don't Support | 258 | $(30.18 \%)$ |
| Don't Know | 94 | $(10.99 \%)$ |

As you have confirmed your support for the above, please confirm what you think would be an appropriate length of time for a temporary restriction to be imposed. (501 responded)

| 2 Months | 28 | $(5.59 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 Months | 103 | $(20.56 \%)$ |
| At the Council's discretion | 258 | $(51.50 \%)$ |
| Other | 47 | $(9.38 \%)$ |

## New Proposed Limits

We are considering setting a limit on the maximum number of dogs ONE PERSON can walk at any time. There are concerns that it becomes increasingly difficult to manage and scrutinise the behaviour as the numbers of dogs increase. What do you think is reasonable?

| 3 dogs per person | 388 | $(45.38 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 dogs per person | 235 | $(27.49 \%)$ |
| 5 dogs per person | 31 | $(3.63 \%)$ |
| 6 dogs per person | 122 | $(14.27 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 79 | $(9.24 \%)$ |

We are considering a limit to the maximum number of dogs which can be exercised 'off lead' or on extendable leads at any time in the restricted area. This does not mean those under 'immediate control and close control'. The restriction is being considered as monitoring behaviours and reacting to triggers becomes more challenging with a larger group of dogs. Do you support this restriction?

| Yes | 558 | $(65.26 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 183 | $(21.40 \%)$ |
| Don't Mind / ambivalent | 41 | $(4.80 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 73 | $(8.54 \%)$ |

What do you feel would be the appropriate limit to the number of dogs which can be exercised 'off-lead' or on extendable leads at any time in a public space?

| 3 dogs | 388 | $(73.35 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 dogs | 110 | $(20.79 \%)$ |
| 5 dogs | 8 | $(1.51 \%)$ |
| 6 dogs | 23 | $(4.35 \%)$ |

## Paw Print Signage

Do you feel this signage would be appropriate and easy to understand?

| Yes | 756 | $(88.42 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 56 | $(6.55 \%)$ |
| Don't know | 43 | $(5.03 \%)$ |

## Equality Information

Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 applies to public sector organisations, whereby Local Authorities must have due regard to the 8 protected characteristics (age, disability, sex, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion/belief, sexual orientation (and marriage and civil partnership in employment) in its decision making.

Not all questions were answered - percentages based on number who did respond

What is your age?

- 19 and under 2 (0.24\%)
- 20 to 2413 (1.53\%)
- 25 to 34 (5.76\%)
- 35 to 49140 (16.47\%)
- 50 to 64334 (39.29\%)
- 65 to 74201 (23.65\%)
- 75 to 8479 (9.29\%)
- 85 and over 4 (0.47\%)
- Prefer not to say 28 (3.29\%)

Do you consider yourself to have a disability (according to the Equality Act 2010)?

- No 722 (85.04\%)
- Yes 77 (9.07\%)
- Prefer not to say 50 (5.89\%)

How would you describe your ethnicity?

- White 748 (87.90\%)
- Prefer not to say

83 (9.75\%)

- Asian, Asian British, or Asian Welsh

11 (1.29\%)

- Mixed or multiple ethnic groups

5 (0.59\%)

- Other ethnic group

4 (0.47\%)

What is your religion or belief?

- No religion
- Christian
- Prefer not to say
- Other religion
- Buddhist

411 (48.41\%)
294 (34.63\%)
121 (14.25\%)
15 (1.77\%)
6 (0.71\%)

- Jewish
1 (0.12\%)
- Hindu
1 (0.12\%)

Sex

- Female

519 (61.28\%)

- Male

266 (31.40\%)

- Prefer not to say

60 (7.08\%)

- Other

2 (0.24\%)

Please confirm if this is the same as registered at birth

- Yes
- Prefer not to say
- No

Sexual orientation

- Straight or Heterosexual
- Prefer not to say
- Bisexual
- Gay or Lesbian
- Other
- Pansexual
- Other sexual orientation

775 (91.93\%)
67 (7.95\%)
1 (0.12\%)

645 (76.51\%)
168 (19.93\%)
12 (1.42\%)
11 (1.30\%)
4 (0.47\%)
2 (0.24\%)
1 (0.12\%)

The results of the equality information responses will be considered alongside the census information for the North Devon Council area. Equality and diversity monitoring can help identify current and future needs, possible inequalities including problems accessing or using services and information, as well as checking that a cross-section of people have been reached and given their views. Results have been published in an anonymised way.

Please note that percentage figures provided are approximate and shown to up to two decimal places.

